

9500461

INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY/DISTRICT
MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST
INTERNAL NR-ELIGIBILITY REVIEW FORM

Property/District Name: Bridge #6024, MD 140/Piney Creek Survey Number: CARR 1426

Project: Replace Bridge 6024 Agency: FHWA/SHA

Site visit by MHT Staff: X no yes Name Date

Eligibility recommended Eligibility not recommended X

Criteria: X A B X C D Considerations: A B C D E F G None

Justification for decision: (Use continuation sheet if necessary and attach map)

Based on the information provided by SHA, Bridge #6024 is not eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. The structure does not have sufficient integrity to be significant under Criterion C. As constructed in the 19th century, the bridge was a stone arch bridge, a type favored for crossings associated with the National Pike and for other crossings in nearby Washington County, Maryland, and Franklin County, Pennsylvania. However, the stone arch bridge was substantially altered and largely encased in concrete in 1932, when the bridge was widened on either side by the addition of concrete slabs. The bridge has the appearance of a concrete slab bridge, but structurally is a hybrid which does not represent any one type. In addition, the bridge does not reflect the 20th century trend toward the use of standardized plans, an outcome of the "Good Roads Movement." Thus, the bridge does not appear to be significant under Criterion A, for association with an important event or series of events. Finally, the bridge is not located in any known historic district.

Documentation on the property/district is presented in: project file

Inventory form CARR #1426

Prepared by: Stacie Webb, SHA

Elizabeth Hannold May 8, 1995
Reviewer, Office of Preservation Services Date

NR program concurrence: ✓ yes no not applicable

Orlando Padilla June 24, 1995
Reviewer, NR program Date

Jim

MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE HISTORIC PRESERVATION PLAN DATA - HISTORIC CONTEXT

I. Geographic Region:

☐ Eastern Shore (all Eastern Shore counties, and Cecil)
☐ Western Shore (Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, Prince George's and St. Mary's)
☒ Piedmont (Baltimore City, Baltimore, Carroll, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Montgomery)
☐ Western Maryland (Allegany, Garrett and Washington)

II. Chronological/Developmental Periods:

☐ Paleo-Indian 10000-7500 B.C.
☐ Early Archaic 7500-6000 B.C.
☐ Middle Archaic 6000-4000 B.C.
☐ Late Archaic 4000-2000 B.C.
☐ Early Woodland 2000-500 B.C.
☐ Middle Woodland 500 B.C. - A.D. 900
☐ Late Woodland/Archaic A.D. 900-1600
☐ Contact and Settlement A.D. 1570-1750
☐ Rural Agrarian Intensification A.D. 1680-1815
☒ Agricultural-Industrial Transition A.D. 1815-1870
☒ Industrial/Urban Dominance A.D. 1870-1930
☒ Modern Period A.D. 1930-Present
☐ Unknown Period (☐ prehistoric ☐ historic)

III. Prehistoric Period Themes:

☐ Subsistence
☐ Settlement
☐ Political
☐ Demographic
☐ Religion
☐ Technology
☐ Environmental Adaption

IV. Historic Period Themes:

☐ Agriculture
☒ Architecture, Landscape Architecture, and Community Planning
☐ Economic (Commercial and Industrial)
☐ Government/Law
☐ Military
☐ Religion
☐ Social/Educational/Cultural
☒ Transportation

V. Resource Type:

Category: StructureHistoric Environment: ruralHistoric Function(s) and Use(s): transportation, vehicularKnown Design Source: unknown